1 2 3 4 5	Jack P. Burden, Esq. Nevada State Bar No. 6918 Jacquelyn Franco, Esq. Nevada State Bar No. 13484 BACKUS BURDEN 3050 South Durango Drive Las Vegas, NV 89117 (702) 872-5555 (702) 872-5545		
6 7 8	jburden@backuslaw.com jacquelynfranco@backuslaw.com DallinKnecht@backuslaw.com Attorneys for Defendant, Albertson's LLC		
9			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
12	MACHELLE SINGH, individually,) Case 2:23-cv-00436-APG-MDC		
13	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND ORDER TO vs. CONTINUE DEADLINE FOR		
14) PROPOSED JOINT PRETRIAL ORDER		
15	ALBERTSON'S LLC d/b/a ALBERTSONS, a) FOR 30 DAYS foreign limited-liability company; DOES I)		
16	through X; and ROE CORPORATIONS I) through X, inclusive)		
17			
18	Defendants.)		
19	Plaintiff MACHELLE SINGH ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorney of record, M. Erik		
20	Ahlander, Esq. of the law firm Ahlander Injury Law, and Defendant ALBERTSON'S LLC		
21	("Defendant"), by and through its attorneys of record, Jack P. Burden, Esq. and Jacquelyn Franco,		
22	Esq. of the law firm of BACKUS BURDEN, hereby stipulate and agree to an extension of the		
23	deadline to file Proposed Joint Pretrial Order by thirty (30) days.		
24	The Proposed Joint Pretrial Order is currently due to the Court by April 8, 2024. The		
25	Parties are actively engaged in settlement negotiations. The requested extension will allow the		
26	parties sufficient time to complete the negotiations or, if necessary, prepare and file the Joint		

Pursuant to LR IA 6-1(b), the Parties hereby aver that this is the first extension requested

Pretrial Order.

28

		o a made a made a construction and a construction a	
1	as it relates to the Joint Pretrial Order. Moreover, pursuant to Local Rule 26-3, it is respectfully		
2	submitted the Parties' failure to request the instant extension prior to the filing deadline was the		
3	result of excusable neglect; specifically noting the failure to request the extension of was a mere		
4	oversight by the Parties. <i>Bateman v. U.S. Postal Service</i> , 231 F.3d 1220 (9 th Cir. 2000). Further: 1)		
5	there is no danger of prejudice as the extension is stipulated by the Parties; 2) a thirty (30) day		
6	extension will not impact a trial date because the same has not been scheduled; 3) the Parties are		
7	hopeful to attend a settlement conference within the requested extended period; and 4) the		
8	requested extension is made in good faith by both Parties. Pioneer Investment Services v.		
9	Brunswick Associate's, Ltd., 507 U.S. 380, 395 (1993).		
10	As such, the Parties stipulate and agree to jointly ask the Court to extend the deadline to		
11	submit the Proposed Joint Pretrial Order by 30 days to May 8, 2024.		
12	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
13	3		
14	DATED this 5th day of April, 2024.	ATED this 5th day of April, 2024.	
15	5 AHLANDER INJURY LAW BA	ACKUS BURDEN	
16		s/Jack Burden	
17	7 Nevada Bar No. 9490 N	ick P. Burden, Esq. evada Bar No. 6918	
18	N II 4	equelyn Franco, Esq. evada Bar No. 13484	
19	Counsel for Plaintiff 30	050 South Durango Drive	
20		as Vegas, Nevada 89117 ounsel for Defendant	
21	1		
22	<u>ORDER</u>		
23	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Parties shall submit the Proposed Joint Pretrial Order		
24	by May 8, 2024. The parties must comply with LR IA 6-1 for any future extensions or continuances		
25	5 IT IS SO ORDERED.		
26	DATED: this 8th day of April 2024		
27	7	Hon. Maximiliano D. Gouvillier III	
28	8	United States Magistrate Judge	